App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Mag 1- 20--1- 29

1930. (Mugrai)

File No. 11-30

Serial Nos. 11, 28, 37+57

Later References
Mag 321 - 30 - Nos 1+2
" 120 - 31 - 1-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for February 1931

SUBJECT.

Petn. from messrs M. K Aprameya Iyengar and A Narasimhayar of Mysore ~~praying~~ complaining that the Parakala mutt has been establishing certain claims in the Melkote temples, to which they have no right.

File J

General and Revenue Secretariat — Muz 3 File No. 11 of 1930

Serial No.

Petition dt 11.10.30 from Messrs M.K Aprameya Iyengar and A. Narasimha Iyengar of Mysore Complaining That Brahmasri Parakala Mutt has been trying to create certain claims in the Melkote Temple to which they have no right.

The petitioners contend that their Petn dt 11.12.22 forwarded from this office to M.C. has not been properly disposed of by the M.C. & that their particular case has been mixed up with Melkote Panyarada Appl Case in the Muz. Courts office. In the circumstances alleged by the petitioners, if appd, This will be forwarded to M.C. for report & disposal after duly considering the

A Appl 17/10 Law Secy

24.10.3

Mary Jones D.M. 25/11

No 1080 of 1911/30 with the Muzrai Commissioner's forwarding the petition for report.

Ends No 1081 of [illegible]

GENL & REV. SECT. MUZRAI. 11 NOV. 1930

Note: Centre Sheet

WD 02328—GPB—10,000- 22-4-30

Mra 3 File No 11 .of 1929 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No. 37

5433/29

of the Ho appl 10 of 19.12.30 from the Inspl Commissioner submitting a report together with the connected records on the petition of 11th October 1930 from Messrs A Apprameya Iyengar and A. Narasimhan and stating that the prayer of the petitioners does not need further consideration for reasons stated therein.

(1) In view of the report it is for orders if the petitioners' attention may be drawn to the Govt already issued by M.C. in the matter.

R.S.M.R. 5/1/31 — Fl. Secy) S.) 6.1.31

(2) The collection containing Mgdo of 2nd April 1921 may be put up for reference.

10.1.31.

Vide Para 4. Collection containing the order of Appl 1921 called for in para 6 is pertinent.

5463/29 13/1

R.S.M.R. 12/1 — Fl. Secy) 13.1.31

Is a copy of the Mdpl of 20.8.1830 available in the Vn Records Record?

Yes let me see.

10.1.31

Supdt. of Vernacular Records with connected

Note—Contn. Sheet V.R. no. 77 of 14.1.31

R.S.M.R. 14/1/31

App. F. III—2j

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mem. File No. 11 of 192

Serial No.

R. no. 77 of 14 1 1931.

The papers available in the Vernacular Records of this period were seen but no mention is made about this Mirup Submitted



Chas 21/1/1931.

(1) Secy Works Dept re Para 9

MM 21/1/31

(2) VS 21.1.31

H. Secy

(3) The M. is view may be accepted and action may be taken as proposed in para (4) supra.

3rd member 22.1.31

VS

(5) Agree. May be told Dewan also as I have also dealt with the case in another capacity

24/1/31

Dewan 22.1.31

VS

MM 24.1.31

VS

NOTE—Contn sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 11 of 1930.

Serial No.	
	17 Subscribed & addressed to the Petitioners — 28/1, 29/1/31. H. Secy. 30-1-31
	18 h WL
	19
	No 1717 of 3-2-31 to Messrs M. A. Appavamayya Iyengar and A. Narayana Iyengar inviting their attention to the Endorsement issued by the Inquiry Commissioner in the Matter.
	No 1718 of 3-2-31 to the Inquiry Commissioner forwarding Copy of the above letter
10/2/31.	

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 02553—G P E—50.000—9

File No. of 193

No. 890
Enc B.
14.10.30

14.10.30

Mcg 5 30.
9.14-10-30

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Mysore, 13th October 1930.

Mcg 11-30-11

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department, Bangalore, for favour of his final. With Compliments —

(230)

By Order

No. 168 of 1930-31
Cr 2

Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
13.10.30

File No. of 193 General and Secretariat

Pharm. Chemistry
11.10.30

ಅರ್ಜಿ - 1
ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ಕಾರ್ಡ್ - 1
ಇತರೆ - 1
3

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಆಸುಇ/ಸಿಆಸುಇ/ಸಿಆಸುಇ/

ಸಿಆಸುಇ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಸಿಆಸುಇ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರ ಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರ ನಾಮನಿರ್ದೇಶನ ಕೋರಿ

ಸಿಆಸುಇ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರ ಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರ ನಾಮನಿರ್ದೇಶನ ಕೋರಿ

1 ಸಿಆಸುಇ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರ ಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರ ನಾಮನಿರ್ದೇಶನ ಕೋರಿ

6

7

11 · 10 · 1930

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT OF H. H THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

Secs 11-30 GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 1080 Sec
10 11-30.

The accompanying Petition Registered

No. 530 dated 14.10.30

received at the General and Revenue

Secretariat from Secretary M.C.
A. Brahmeshwara Iyengar
& A. Narasimhaiyar of
Mysore Complaining
that their petition of 11.12.2
against the Brahmatantra
Sri Parakala Mutt has
been establishing certain
in the Melkote Taluk
Claims to which they have
no right, has not been
properly disposed of

is forwarded, together with its enclosures

to the H.C.

Petition
for H.C. Short
together with connected Records

By Order,

24.10.30

for Secretary.

Bangalore

BANGALORE,

.....19.....

[P. T. O.

WD 02048 -GPB—3750—8-8-28

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾ .....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯವಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ 11.10.30

ಮುಕ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು .....

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

10/11/30 By Order,

Secretary.

Appeal 10 [illegible]
23-4-37 [illegible] 19

[illegible]

[illegible]

To

[illegible]

[illegible]

GENL & REV SECT
22 DEC 1930
901
MUZRAI.

Memo 11-30 37

[illegible] reference to your [illegible] 11-30-3[illegible] dated 7,1[illegible] over 1950 for certain [illegible] records notified [illegible] October [illegible]50 received from [illegible] ---- [illegible] arrangement, collection, [illegible] dated 1 December 1[illegible]92 that the [illegible] [illegible] certain [illegible] classes [illegible] should be [illegible] [illegible] been [illegible] destroyed, I have [illegible] [illegible] offices [illegible] [illegible] 1925- [illegible] [illegible] complete [illegible] insufficient [illegible] [illegible] [illegible].

2. On 11-1-1925, [illegible] [illegible] several [illegible] records of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

the petitioners were informed on 10th March 1923 that the final orders having been passed by Government and carried out by subordinate officers, no further orders can now be passed or question reopened except on production of evidence to show that the Government order of 2nd April 1921 was passed on a -- misrepresentation due to a forged document.

3. On 2-3-'23 certain devotees of the Sri Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote preferred an appeal against the orders passed by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District in the matter of the restoration of inam lands granted to the Sri Narakala -- Nathaswami Temple, etc., for the reasons urged in the memorial referred to above, which was sent to the Deputy Commissioner in connection with various correspondence, as the claim of the said Temple to the status of Sarvamanya was based upon the Girup of 20th August 1850. The appeal was heard on 7th August 1923 and it was remanded to the Deputy Commissioner for recording definite findings on certain points. The case was accordingly taken -- up by the Deputy Commissioner's file and opportunities were given to both the appellants and the respondent to urge their claims - and they submitted their written arguments ---- wherein they pointed out discrepancies in the form of Girup of 1850 and contended that the Girup was forged. The reasons given in paras 5,6,7 & 8 of his letter dated 7th March 1930, the Deputy Commissioner -- came to the conclusion that the Girup was a genuine document.

4. Thereupon this office order dated 6/10th September 1930 was passed and endorsement issued to the petitioners. Against this order the petitioners submitted a petition again to this office similar to the one now submitted to Government and a further endorsement dated 11th November 1930 was issued.

5. As the Girup of 20th August 1850 has already been held to be a genuine document and has also been acted upon (Vide Government Order dated 2nd April 1921) and as no new grounds

have

have been urged to disprove the authenticity of the document,
of opinion that the matter does not need further consideration.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[illegible]

[illegible]

ID 01723—GPB—8000—26 4-28

ನಂಬರು..... 1717 ...

ಇನ್ನುಮೇಲೆ ನೀವು ಬರೆಸ್ಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಕಾಗದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನಂಬರನ್ನು ದಯಯಿಟ್ಟು ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿ.

.....ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಕಛೇರಿ ಸಹಾಯಕರ ಆಫೀಸು.

ತಾರೀಖು ..2.....ಜೂ.....1931.

ಇಂಡಿಯಾಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪ್.

ಸಂದರ್ಭ :— ಸಿವ್ಯಾ.... ..... 11.10.30 ನೆಯ ಮರ್ಕುಂ ಪಾದದ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ವಿಷಯ :—

ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಂಡ ... .. 1 .... ನೆಯ ನಂಬರ್ ಕಲುಮಿಗೆ ಗಮನಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ರುಜು .. ..

ಹುದ್ದೆಯ ಹೆಸರು .....

1. ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಯನ್ನು ನೆರವೇರಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ... ....

2. ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕಾಗದವನ್ನು / ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ....ಗೆ

....ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

3. ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿನ ... ... ನೆಯ ಮರ್ಕುಂ .. ..ನೆಯ ನಂಬರಿಗೆ ಗಮನಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೊರಿದೆ.

4. ....ನೆಯ ಮರ್ಕುಂ..... .... ನೆಯ ನಂಬರನ್ನು ಕಂಡು ಹಿಡಿಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ ; ಅದರ ಒಂದು ನಕಲನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

5. ಮೂಲಪೂಪಿಗಳು ತಲುಪಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

6. ಅರ್ಜಿಯು—

(i) ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾದ ನಮೂನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲ್ಪಡದೆ,

ಅಥವಾ (ii) ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಹಚ್ಚಿಸಲ್ಪಡದೆ,

ಅಥವಾ (iii) ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾದ ವಾಯಿದೆ ಯೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಹಾಜರ್ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡದೆ,

ಅಥವಾ (iv) ಯಾವ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಆಪೀಲು ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದೋ ಅಥವಾ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದು ತರಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದೋ ಆ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಒಂದು ನಕಲಿನ ಸಮೇತ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲ್ಪಡದೆ, ಇರುವ ಪ್ರಯುಕ್ತ ಅದನ್ನು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ವಾಪಸುಮಾಡಿದೆ.

7. ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ....ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರ್ಮಾಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಾಪಸುಮಾಡಿದೆ.

8. (a) ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಬರೆವಣಿಗೆಯು ಓದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಾರದಹಾಗೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ,

(b) ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ.

(c) ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಅನುಚಿತವಾದ ಭಾಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅದನು ವಾಪಸು ಮಾಡಿದ

* ಕಾರಣಗಳು ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವನ್ನು ಸಂಕ್ಷೇಪವಾಗಿ ನಮೂದಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.